

MAR - 7 2011

## **VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL**

Joseph "Jay" Fields

Jacksonville, FL 32205

**RE:** MUR 6345

Dear Mr. Fields:

On October 25, 2010, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On February 16, 2011, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed the potential violations under 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f, 441b(a), and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iil) with respect to you. On March 2, 2611, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by you, that there is no reason to believe you violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1). Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information. A Statement of Reasons further explaining the basis for the Commission's decision will follow.

If you have any questions, please contact Kimberly Hart, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Mark D. Shonkwiler

**Assistant General Counsel** 

**Enclosure** 

Factual and Legal Analysis

2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
3	
<b>4 5</b>	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
6	MUR 6345
7 8 9	RESPONDENTS: Joseph "Jay" Fields
10	Marianne "Jorgine" Fields
11 12	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
13 14	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election
15	Commission by Jerod Powers. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). This matter involves
16	allegations that various Respondents violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of
17	1971, as amended ("Act") in connection with the transfer of \$2,000 by Joseph "Jay"
18	Fields, treasurer of the Keep God In America rally, from the account of Biblical Concepts
19	Ministries, Inc. ("BCM"), to his wife, Marianne "Jorgine" Fields (and rally co-
20	coordinator). The proceeds were used to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee
21	later that same day. The Nwasike Committee claims that Mr. Nwasike was a BCM
22	officer at the time, and it asserts that the payment was duly authorized by BCM officers,
23	although the Committee does not identify these officers.
24	The complaint, which is very short and inartfully drafted, alleges that Mr.
25	Nwasike and the Nwasike Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1), which prohibits
26	political party committees from soliciting funds or directing donations to certain Section
27	501(c) non-profit organizations. However, the alleged facts can also be read to assert that
28	the \$2,000 contribution was a corporate contribution made in the name of another, in
9	violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f.

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 2 of 5

- The Commission found no reason to believe that Joseph "Jay" Fields and

  Marianne "Jorgine" Fields violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1). The Commission exercised its
- 3 prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985), and
- 4 dismissed potential violations under 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R.
- 5 § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) as to Joseph "Jay" Fields and Marianne "Jorgine" Fields. The
- 6 Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reasons setting forth the basis for the
- 7 dismissal of these potential violations.

## 8 II. <u>FACTUAL SUMMARY</u>

- 9 Mr. Nwasike was a candidate seeking to be elected to represent Florida's 3<sup>rd</sup>
- 10 Congressional District during the 2010 election cycle. His principal campaign committee
- 11 was Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer
- 12 ("Nwasike Committee"). Mr. Nwasike, BCM President Robert Johnson, and the
- 13 complainant, Jerod Powers, appear to have been the principal organizers of a March 26,
- 14 2010, Keep God In America ("KGIA") rally held in Jacksonville, Florida. BCM, a non-
- 15 profit corporation registered with the state of Florida, was the host of the KGIA rally. Jay
- 16 and Jorgine Fields were self-entrological individuals who were assistant coordinators for
- 17 the KGIA event. At the time of the filing of the Nwasike Committee's Statement of
- Organization on May 17, 2010, its treasurer was Jay Fields, who also served as treasurer
- 19 for the KGIA rally.
- 20 On April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Nwasike submitted his Statement of
- 21 Candidacy, Mr. Nwasike instructed Jay Fields, acting treasurer for the KGIA rally, to
- write a \$2,000 check payable to his wife on a BCM corporate bank account. The BCM

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 3 of 5

1 check signed by Mr. Fields includes the notation that the payment was for "service 2 rendered." The Nwasike Committee reported receiving a \$2,000 contribution from Mr. Fields on April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Fields wrote the BCM check payable to 3 4 his wife. See Complaint, last page of attachments. The Nwasike Committee's response 5 similarly indicates that it received the contribution check from Mr. Fields. Nwasike 6 Committee Response at 2, 3. For reasons that are unclear, however, the complaint asserts 7 that the contribution to the Nwasike Committee was from Mrs. Fields (the payee on the 8 BCM check). While the Fields' responses do not dispute the complaint's contention, and 9 Mrs. Fields seems to indicate that she made the contribution, the Fields do not 10 specifically address which of them wrote the actual contribution check, and we do not 11 have a copy of that check. See Responses. However, Mrs. Fields states Mr. Nwasike 12 returned the money to her, and she returned the money to KGIA. See Jorgine Fields 13 Response. In any event, the Nwasike Committee refunded the contribution to Mr. Fields 14 on June 30, 2010, and Mr. Fields was the Committee treasurer at the time. See Nwasike 15 Committee July 2010 Quarterly Report. 16 The Nwasike Committee claims that Mr. Nwasike was a BCM officer at the time. 17 and it asserts that the payment was duly authorized by BCM officers, although the 18 Committee does not identify these officers. The Nwasike Committee argues that there is 19 no evidence to support the allegation that it violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1) or that it and 20 the other respondents agreed or conspired to undertake "any activity in violation of 21 Federal election law." The Committee further contends that the \$2,000 contribution 22 check to the Committee was drawn on the personal account of Mr. Fields, a natural

22

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 4 of 5

1 person and United States citizen, and was not excessive, and thus was a legal 2 contribution. 3 BCM denies that Mr. Nwasike and Mr. Fields had the authority to pay \$2,000 to 4 Mrs. Fields. BCM President Johnson states that Mr. Nwasike influenced Mr. Fields, the 5 treasurer for the KGIA rally to help him funnel funds from the non-profit ministry to his 6 political campaign without Johnson's knowledge. 7 The Fields' responses further indicate that Mrs. Fields accepted the BCM check in order to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee. See Responses. Mrs. Fields 8 states that after the March 26<sup>th</sup> rally, she and her husband had consistently refused offers 9 10 of payment for their expenses and services, but she eventually took the pay authorized by 11 Mr. Nwasike in order to contribute the funds to Nwasike's campaign. Id. According to 12 Mr. Fields, Mr. Nwasike wanted the Fields to have this money since they had endured 13 some financial hardships, so he instructed Mr. Fields to write a check to his wife to 14 "compensate us for our losses." Id. 15 Further, Mrs. Fields' second response states that "We did not handle the donation 16 to Chris Nwasike right, whether lagal or illegal. We have been trusting that returning that 17 money took cars of everything, and still are." See Jorgine Fields Supplemental Response. 18 Mrs. Fields also states, "I am older [than Nwasike], and need to be responsible to show 19 him the way and hold our actions accountable." Id. 20 III. **LEGAL ANALYSIS** Section 441i(d)(1) prohibits certain types of activity by political party committees 21

and is inapplicable to the facts in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission found no

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 5 of 5

- 1 reason to believe that Joseph "Jay" Fields and Marianne "Jorgine" Fields violated
- 2 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1).
- The Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v.
- 4 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1995), and dismissed potential violations under 2 U.S.C.
- 5 §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) with respect to Joseph "Jay" Fields and
- 6 Marianne "Jorgine" Fields. The Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reason
- 7 setting forth the basis for the dismissal of these potential violations.

8